

Strategic Role of Artificial Intelligence for Sustainable Cooperation in Northeast Asia

Xiuli Chen^{1*} and Joohan Ryoo²

¹Graduate School of International Studies, Hanyang University, South Korea. Email: cxlavj@hanyang.ac.kr

²Graduate School of International Studies, Hanyang University, South Korea. Email: jhryoo@hanyang.ac.kr

*Corresponding Author: cxlavj@hanyang.ac.kr



Paper type: Article

Received: 17 October 2024
Revised: 02 November 2024
Accepted: 12 December 2024
Published: 30 December 2024

Citation: Chen, X, & Ryoo, J. (2024).
Strategic Role of Artificial
Intelligence for Sustainable
Cooperation in Northeast Asia.
*American Journal of Business Science
Philosophy*, 1(2), 170-184.
<https://doi.org/10.70122/ajbsp.v1i2.19>

Abstract

This research explores the strategic use of artificial intelligence (AI) to enhance sustainable cooperation in Northeast Asia among China, Japan, and South Korea towards UN SDGs. The research uses a mixed methodology approach to understand how AI can bring peace, economic resilience, and environmental sustainability to the region. This paper traces the evolution of cyber security, green technology, and financial partnerships among China, Japan, and South Korea by examining their past and current trilateral meetings. Using Porter's Diamond Model, a comprehensive statistical analysis that describes each country's role in global network security work within six dimensions will be applied to evaluate these key countries' resilience and competitiveness in the digital economy. Cross-border data flow is one of the components of public-private partnerships within cyber defense technologies innovation. Moreover, a holistic perspective on AI adoption highlights its strategic positioning, competitive intelligence, and continuous improvement through unity. AI-powered initiatives could support regional harmony by promoting digital sustainability and facilitating economic resilience and environmental sustainability. Future studies should seek empirical evidence that gives more operational responses for practical AI cooperation among China, Japan, and the Republic of Korea. This article discusses how digital technologies can better address immediate sustainability needs while providing a platform for future generations seeking positive change based on academic insights translated into practical strategies. On a broader scale, AI can be used for peacebuilding, economic recovery, and environmental conservation to make societies more stable, prosperous, and equitable.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; innovation; sustainable development goals; digital

© 2024 The Authors. Published by American Open Science Philosophy. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The trilateral Summit of China, Japan, and South Korea was held to enhance mutual political trust, increase trade and economic contacts, expand social and cultural exchange, and strengthen financial cooperation, according to a joint statement 2008 (Lim & Kim, 2022). These meetings have been influential in building diplomatic and economic bridges and opened new ground for collaboration in disaster response, cybersecurity, and environmental sustainability (Hong, 2022). Characterized by the finalization of the trilateral artificial intelligence (AI) cooperation framework in 2024, the Ninth ROK-Japan-China Trilateral Summit's Joint Declaration is a perfect example in which it displays how strong partnerships can lead to sustainable development on institutionalization, cultural exchange, economic cooperation, technical innovation, public health, disaster management, and regional peace towards realizing the principles of SDG 17. With evolving priorities and mutual interests among China, Japan, and South Korea to enhance regional stability, economic growth, and technological innovation each time, the study revealed that these countries can leverage AI through trilateral cooperation to achieve sustainable development as well as address broader digital sustainability goals (Table 1).

Table 1. China-Japan-South Korea trilateral summits summary.

Year	Location	Background	Key Points	Partnerships Finalized	SDG 17 Related Highlights
2008	Japan	Beginning of formal trilateral cooperation and economic partnership	Inaugural summit, aiming to enhance regional stability and economic growth.	Trilateral investment agreement	Foundation for future cooperation, emphasizing mutual economic benefits.
2009	China	Recognizing the global impact of climate change	Expansion of economic and environmental cooperation	Agreement on joint efforts for environmental conservation and climate change mitigation	Collaborative environmental strategies to combat climate change.
2010	South Korea	Aftermath of several natural disasters	Disaster response and nuclear safety	Partnership on disaster management and nuclear safety protocols	regional resilience and safety through joint disaster response initiatives.
2011	Japan	Regional tensions rising concerning North Korea	Security concerns and strengthen economic ties.	Framework for Trilateral Economic Partnership	A coordinated approach to regional security and economic integration.
2012	China	Initiating discussions for a trilateral Free Trade Agreement (FTA).	Economic cooperation and regional stability	Trilateral Free Trade Agreement (FTA) negotiations initiated	Towards economic integration through potential FTA.
2013	South Korea	Cultural heritage of the three nations.	Cultural exchanges and tourism	Cultural exchange programs and joint tourism initiatives	The soft power of cultural diplomacy in trilateral relations.
2015	South Korea	Addressing new and ongoing regional challenges.	Revitalization of trilateral cooperation post-hiatus	Renewal of trilateral cooperation secretariat (TCS) mandate	Commitment to trilateral cooperation despite geopolitical challenges.
2016	Japan	The context of cybersecurity threats.	Economic partnerships and security issues	Joint declaration on cybersecurity cooperation	cybersecurity in regional security agendas.
2018	Japan	Reflecting the rapid technological advancements and their economic implications.	Advancing digital economy and innovation	Launch of trilateral digital economy partnership	collaboration in digital innovation to stay competitive globally.
2019	China	Against the backdrop of increasing regional security concerns	Deepening economic cooperation and jointly addressing regional security	Agreement on collaborative research and development in emerging technologies	Technological collaboration to enhance regional security and economic growth.
2024	South Korea	With AI and green technology becoming critical areas of global focus	AI collaboration, cybersecurity, and green technology for regional benefit.	Trilateral AI Cooperation Framework and Green Technology Initiative	Future-focused cooperation in AI and sustainability, addressing both economic and environmental challenges.

The confluence of technology and business has given rise to transformative forces reshaping the global competitive landscape (Husen & Chowdhury, 2023). The integration of AI into the trilateral relations among China, Japan, and South Korea remains a complex issue influenced by many geopolitical, economic, and technological factors. To boost their competitiveness while promoting regional stability and cooperation through sharing standards alongside respective strengths in AI, these three countries should focus on harmonized approaches in the development and commercialization of AI (HahnKyu, 2017; Reshetnikova et al., 2021). This strategic method might reduce geopolitical risks, creating a more cohesive regional environment for innovation around Asia Pacific's AI technology sector (Wenning, 2023).

The exponential growth of the global AI industry, expected to reach \$422.4 billion by 2028, highlights AI's widespread adoption and positive impact on business development and economic activities (Anupama et al., 2023). From such trivialities as business assignment automation and moving on to market forecasters and personalized client experiences, AI is a very elastic concept for business purposes (Fianto & Dutahatmaja,

2023). Adopting AI into business systems implies a technological shift and entails a complete overhaul of various aspects of global domination strategies, business structures, and operations (Furr et al., 2022). For example, rapid technological advancement has set logistics systems up for continuous modernization with significant input from AI in automation, robotics, and digitalization (Kraus et al., 2023). All these improvements demonstrate that green logistics empowered by AI will become one of the leading trends in business logistics (Gubová, 2020).

A cooperative cybersecurity framework within China, Japan, and South Korea could facilitate regional economic growth and innovation. This engagement advances regional peace and demonstrates the spirit of SDG 17, which is how strategic alliances can help reach an agreement. This theoretical study used secondary research methods, including reviewing existing literature and other open resources. By synthesizing these sources, the study intends to provide practical insights into using AI to foster mutual economic growth and regional stability through digital sustainability.

2. Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

A cooperative framework with competitive cybersecurity among China, Japan, South Korea, and other nations can bring benefits beyond any individual country; it drives regional economic growth and innovation (Fagerberg, 2022). The collaboration will enhance a regional digital economy that is more inclusive to all stakeholders (Xiang et al., 2022). These countries face considerable cyber threats due to their significant political and economic status and often come under attack from state-sponsored cyberattacks and other malicious cyber activities (Zheng & Fan, 2015). It could foster ordinary resilience in the face of cyber threats that affect these nations. As more countries and entities participate, the global digital economy will grow more vital and robust (Rainnie & Dean, 2020).

The challenge lies in promoting collaboration and cooperation while preserving national interests and strategic alliances. Due to fundamental disagreements within regional cybersecurity, like the core concept of cyberspace sovereignty, a lack of cooperation among great powers might lead to competing blocks with irreconcilable positions. Consequently, promoting collaboration is imperative for managing conflicts that might arise within existing normative processes (Ruhl et al., 2022). Such diplomacy seeks to unite national interests with world dynamics by incorporating them into an international community for the Internet (Maulana & Fajar, 2023). An elastic, competitive, and cooperative framework needs to integrate cybersecurity preparedness and capacity building (Contreras & Barrett, 2020), cross-border data flows and data protection (Burri, 2023), public-private partnerships in cybersecurity (Kruhlov et al., 2019), innovative defense technologies as well as international cooperation and norms in cyberspace with Porter's diamond model and its four components aiming for SDGs (Trent, 2018; Pawlak & Missiroli, 2019; Vlados, 2019).

Table 2 below shows how five critical aspects of cybersecurity can be integrated into the four original components of Porter's Diamond Model (excluding opportunity and government). It demonstrates how these aspects facilitate a country's competitive advantage in cybersecurity by addressing factor conditions, demand conditions, related and supporting industries, an enterprise strategy, structure, and rivalry. The table emphasizes the skilled workforce, legal frameworks, PPPs, and innovation as vital to shaping a nation's cyber security environment and the need for secure solutions, international cooperation, and compliance with global norms in enhancing cyber security competitiveness in the evolving digital economy.

Table 2 overviews the cooperative framework integrating cybersecurity and Porter's Diamond Model for Competitiveness toward SDGs. In the present era of technology, the interrelationship between cybersecurity and sustainable development is increasingly essential, especially in terms of the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This research examines how AI can be strategically applied to foster competitive advantages within trilateral cooperation involving China, Japan, and South Korea while ensuring progress toward SDGs. It will explore how cyber security collaboration could promote global progress as analyzed under partnership for the goal, which is SDG 17. In addition, this framework underscores preparedness and capacity building in cyber security by promoting a skilled workforce and strengthening R & D among

educational institutions, thereby supporting quality education and decent work and economic growth as captured under SDG4 of Quality Education and SDG8 of Decent Work and Economic Growth, respectively. There is also a need for robust legislative and regulatory frameworks on data protection consistent with resilient infrastructures that support peace, justice, strong institutions, and inclusive industrialization industry innovation infrastructure through fostering resilient infrastructures inclusive industrialization aligned with SDG 9 of Industry Innovation Infrastructure.

Table 2. Key Elements of competitive cybersecurity framework for cooperation.

Aspects	Factor Conditions	Demand Conditions	Related & Supporting Industries	Firm Strategy, Structure, & Rivalry	SDGs
Cybersecurity Preparedness & Capacity Building	A skilled workforce in cybersecurity and solid technological infrastructure, R&D, and financial resources	Increasing demand for cyber protection due to growing cyber threats	The presence of cybersecurity firms and technology providers	Collaboration and competition among cybersecurity firms to develop better solutions	SDG4, SDG 8
Cross border Data Flows & Data Protection	Legal and regulatory frameworks for data protection, technical infrastructure for secure data transmission.	Demand for secure and compliant data transfer across borders.	Data centers, cloud service providers, data protection solution providers.	Adoption of best practices in data protection and compliance with international data protection standards.	SDG 9, SDG 16
Public-private Partnerships in Cybersecurity	Government investment in cybersecurity, availability of public funding for R&D	The public and private sectors demand robust cybersecurity solutions.	Collaboration between government, private sector, and academia	Joint initiatives to address cyber threats, sharing of resources and expertise	SDG 9, SDG 17
Innovation in Cyber Defense Technologies	Investment in R&D, intellectual property protection	Demand for cutting-edge cyber defense solutions to address evolving threats	Cyber-defense technology providers, research institutions	Firms focusing on innovation and developing advanced cyber defense technologies	SDG 8, SDG 9
International Cooperation & Norms in Cyberspace	Adherence to international cybersecurity norms, participation in international forums	Demand for a harmonized global approach to address cyber threats	International organizations, cybersecurity alliances	Cooperation with foreign counterparts, alignment of cybersecurity strategies with international norms	SDG 16, SDG 17

Additionally, public-private partnerships on cyber security are supported by government investments with public funding to research and development directly addressing Goal 17, showing the power of multistakeholder collaborations in meeting global challenges. Moreover, these initiatives improve industrial innovation, which goes hand in hand with efficient resource use and improved service delivery associated with SDG 9. Investment in R&D and IP protection in cyberspace technologies enhances SDGs 9 and 8 by spurring industrial stability and economic growth via technological innovations. Finally, compliance with international cyber norms and active participation at global forums highlights the significance of international cooperation, which supports both SGD 16 and SGD17, respectively, since they encourage global partnerships, peace, and justice. This integrative approach shows how trilateral cooperation can enhance cybersecurity and significantly contribute to the broader objectives of sustainable development.

3. Methodology

This study employed a mixed methods approach integrating quantitative data (average scores) and qualitative insights to investigate how AI can enhance sustainable cooperation in Northeast Asia. By combining secondary quantitative data from the e-Governance Academy Foundation through the NCSI website (<https://ncsi.ega.ee>), such as the Global Cybersecurity Index (GCI) and the National Cyber Security Index (NCSI) with qualitative insights, such as literature reviews, policy documents, and others, the research provides a comprehensive understanding of the region's AI-driven collaboration on economic resilience,

environmental sustainability, and cybersecurity. Meanwhile, official statements from past Trilateral Summits among China, Japan, and South Korea (2008–2024) were reviewed to discern evolving commitments to AI, cybersecurity, and sustainability. These were instrumental in understanding how policy frameworks, public-private partnerships, and international norms have progressed. Moreover, peer-reviewed journal articles, think tank publications, and industry white papers provided background and theoretical grounding on AI adoption, cybersecurity collaboration, and sustainable development. Key themes such as AI ethics, regional peacebuilding, and green technology were extracted to refine the conceptual framework. Combining supplementary academic studies and reputable industry reports with quantitative data ensures that no single source overly influences the country's comparisons, adding another layer of credibility. Including additional countries (e.g., India, Australia, and the United States) in the comparative table allowed a broader perspective, preventing region-specific bias.

4. Results

Porter's Diamond Model was selected as the primary analytical lens for comparing national competitiveness in cybersecurity and AI adoption. This model focuses on four core components (Factor Conditions, Demand Conditions, Related and Supporting Industries, Firm Strategy, Structure, and Rivalry) plus two additional external factors (Government and Chance) used to assess these key countries' resilience and competitiveness in the digital economy (see Table 3; data can be accessed on the NCSI website: <https://ncsi.ega.ee>). First, a competitive digital economy collaborative framework was established to build a network elastic strategy. Secondly, using this framework as a guide, a comparative analysis of eight core countries was conducted where researchers could draw conclusions about similarities and differences related to China, Japan, and South Korea based on the mean values of variables identified in theoretical frameworks and identify patterns or trends.

Table 3. Comparison among key nations in the pacific.

Country	Factor Conditions: Cybersecurity Preparedness & Capacity Building (Average of GCI 14)	Demand Conditions: Cross border Data Flows & Data Protection (Average of NCI 5, 78)	Related & Supporting Industries: Public-private Partnerships in Cybersecurity (Average of GCI 2, 9)	Firm Strategy, Structure, & Rivalry: Innovation in Cyber Defense Technologies (Average of IDI, NRI)	Government & Chance: International Cooperation & Norms in Cyberspace (Average of GCI 4, 1112)
China	39.00	67.33	26.50	62.50	42.33
India	60.75	26.00	45.00	40.00	65.00
Japan	82.50	50.67	65.00	41.50	55.67
Australia	87.50	43.67	60.00	47.50	73.33
South Korea	95.75	53.33	50.00	42.50	61.33
Canada	80.25	51.00	50.00	51.50	71.33
Singapore	92.25	44.33	50.00	50.00	65.33
United States	77.25	45.67	35.00	46.00	100.00

Table 3 highlights average scores for each category corresponding to indices representing five aspects of cybersecurity and integration with Porter's diamond model elements. It helps compare countries' performances in these areas and provides insights into their cyber environments and potential competitive advantages in the digital economy.

In the first category of fundamental conditions, i.e., cyber security readiness and capacity building, South Korea had the highest average score at 95.75 among all GCI subindices 14, indicating strong performance on policymaking, threat analysis, education, and professional development. Conversely, China showed the lowest average score (39.00) within this category, suggesting the need for improvement in these fields. In the second demand condition relating to cross-border data flows and data protection, China also had the highest mean score (67.33) at NCI subindices 5, 78, highlighting its commitment to safeguarding digital service, e-Identification services, trust services, and personal data protection within its territory. However, low average scores by India (26.00) in these areas might suggest some weaknesses exist there, though it is important to note that when assessing demand conditions, it is crucial to take into consideration different regulations and

priorities of each country as they may have significant implications on the movement of data and protective measures.

In the fifth and sixth dimensions, Government and Chance, around international cooperation and norms for cyberspace, the US leads with a perfect score (100) in GCI Sub-Indices 4,11&12, showing its contribution towards global cybersecurity through robust military network activities online. In contrast, China's mean score (42.33) in this category implies limited contributions towards international collaboration and cyberspace norms. Therefore, this figure remains an invaluable resource for understanding the national cyber environment, which can be used to identify competitive advantages and areas requiring improvements. It further emphasizes the importance of international cooperation against such challenges as cyber security.

In the third related supporting industries, i.e., private-public partnership in cybersecurity, Japan and South Korea has strong scores on GCI subindices 23, emphasizing threat analysis and education for effective public-private partnerships. India also performed well in these subindices, illustrating the prospect of growth of its PPPs. In the fourth industry, business strategy structure and rivalry (network defense technology innovation), the US outperformed other countries by scoring the highest (100) in GCI Sub Index 1, which shows its strong performance in cyber security policymaking that drives innovations.

However, due to the lack of specific indicators for public-private partnerships and innovative network defenses in the data provided, a more comprehensive assessment of these categories would require additional sources of information for a more accurate reflection of performance in each country toward the promotion of public-private partnerships and development of network defense technologies.

4.1. A Trilateral Cooperation with Competitive Advantage

In the fast-changing age of the fourth Industrial Revolution, AI has become a central force reshaping business strategies and competitive landscapes. By leveraging AI, these three countries will have even more significant economic growth and encourage regional cooperation. This section presents a comprehensive framework for realizing competitive advantage through trilateral cooperation based on AI among technologically advanced nations. By matching their AI capabilities with shared strategic goals, they can develop groundbreaking innovations, improve effectiveness, and achieve mutual economic benefits.

Strategic Positioning with AI in trilateral cooperation with competitiveness among China, Japan, and South Korea involves a multifaceted approach, which requires a business's core competence, capitalizing on opportunities, countering threats, or tackling data privacy and security issues. To enhance their core competencies, firms need to embed AI into their operations to predict, prescribe, and automate processes and form human agent collectives that combine the strengths of both humans and machines for better decision-making and operational efficiency (Robinette, 2023). It entails understanding how the company scores against rivals regarding its strengths and weaknesses, including the value chain of its strategic business units (SBUs) (Robinette, 2023). Companies can capitalize on the opportunities to tap into big data by aligning their goals with potential insights obtained from data analytics to facilitate development and continuous innovation (Wagner, 2020). AI also permits scenario planning that enables companies to develop robust adaptive strategies capable of responding to different futures, thus eliminating risks while increasing resilience (Rabun et al., 2023).

Combating threats involves knowledge about the external environment, internal capabilities to strategy, and stakeholders' expectations for a competitive edge (Williams, 2020). Moreover, using predictive analytics techniques, AI assists in refining strategic position selections by forecasting winning probabilities under various voting rules that apply to optimizing strategic decisions within diverse contexts (Chereau et al., 2018; Whitacre et al., 2008). Lastly, the important thing is to address data privacy and security since the exponential growth of data calls for solid strategies safeguarding sensitive information and complying with regulatory requirements, ensuring user trust or legal standing is not compromised by AI-driven initiatives (Wirén &

Mäntymäki, 2018). Organizations will hence be able to locate themselves properly in such a way that they will exploit maximum potentiality out of AI without falling into possible pitfalls.

Table 4. A trilateral cooperation with competitive advantage in the AI era (China, Japan and South Korea).

Framework Components	Description	Key Considerations	Sources
Strategic Positioning with AI	AI capabilities with strategic goals through trilateral cooperation Focus on where AI can provide the most value collaboratively.	Boosting Core Competencies Capitalizing Opportunities Combating Threats Data Privacy and Security	(Robinette, 2023; Wagner, 2020; Rabun et al., 2023; Williams, 2020; Chereau et al., 2018; Whitacre et al., 2008; Wirén & Mäntymäki, 2018)
Navigating Competitive Forces with AI and Establishing Competitive Intelligence Analysis System	The competitive landscape reshaped by AI and gaining insights into competitors through trilateral efforts;	Obstacles to Entry Supplier-Buyer Dynamics Industry Rivalry Comprehensive AI-driven Competitor Analysis Market Trend Prediction AI Ethics	(Feldman & Thieme, 2019; Kruszynski & Pawlowski, 2020; Kemp, 2023; Naqvi, 2017; Mokeddem, 2020; Khan et al., 2018; Pchelintseva and Omelyanskaya, 2020)
Driving AI Adoption through Perceived Value	User perception in AI adoption facilitated by trilateral cooperation;	Assessing its value Interoperability Explainability, Interpretability, and Transparency	(Hamm et al., 2023; Deshpande and Ambatkar, 2023; Roundtree, 2023; Lee & Cha, 2023)
Ensuring Continuous Improvement with AI	Ongoing learning and refinement in AI systems through shared efforts	Continuous Learning and Refinement Technical Feasibility	(Setchi & Spasic, 2020; Li et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2020; Komendantskaya et al., 2020; Lomonaco, 2019; McCluskey, 2007; Li et al., 2019; Pianykh et al., 2020)
In-depth Understanding of Self in the AI Era	Comprehensive understanding of strengths and weaknesses using AI facilitated by trilateral cooperation	Data-driven Insights Internal Process Optimization	(Mentzas et al., 2021; Ugoyah & Igbine, 2021; Burk & Mine, 2022; Ronwe & Mang, 2022)
Guarding Against Competitors' AI Analysis	Measures to prevent competitors from using AI to analyze strategies developed through trilateral cooperation	Protecting Key Information Adversarial Models	(Ginart et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2023; Tennenholtz, 2002; Bhattacharya, 2020)
Shaping Unique Corporate Image and Brand Recognition	Unique corporate image and brand recognition through trilateral cooperation	Corporate Culture Building Brand Communication Strategy	(Ho & Chow, 2021; Gerlich et al., 2023; Meng, 2020; Van Trang et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2023; Lin and Lu, 2021; Medina & Pacanowski, 2023)

Navigating Competitive Forces with AI and establishing a Competitive Intelligence Analysis System means utilizing the technology to gain strategic advantages and adapt to the rapidly changing business environment. The transformational nature of AI is altering industry competition by eroding traditional vertical boundaries and necessitating new organizational arrangements for both technology-based and non-tech companies (Feldman & Thieme, 2019). Establishing competitive advantages using AI involves locating it within a company's routine operations through grounding, bounding, and recasting AI to build context-specific and cost-effective firm capabilities (Kruszynski & Pawlowski, 2020). Similarly, when applied in Intelligent Autonomous Environments (IAE), the integration of AI demands changed competitive analysis approaches, such as adapted Porter's Five Forces Analysis, which can be used to predict competition (Kemp, 2023) insightfully. For instance, top management support, customer orientation, and normative pressures drive businesses towards adopting AI to keep up with competitors and enhance marketing performance (Naqvi, 2017). In addition, deep learning techniques can enable AI to generate automatic rules based on which competitions can be transformed into intelligent contests, thus facilitating strategic decision-making processes in organizations (Mokeddem, 2020). Finally, real-time strategies (RTS) game application indicates how far its potential may extend, helping players balance between strategic and tactical decisions – this analogy could be extrapolated onto business strategies adopted to avoid losing one's competitiveness position (Khan et al., 2018). As society reaches a technological tipping point, intellectual property policies must change to handle such inventions brought by AI, thereby promoting innovation so that human and computer-related improvements get adequately rewarded. In this regard, setting up a tripartite committee on ethics surrounding AI is essential in managing how it is developed or applied ethically (Pchelintseva & Omelyanskaya, 2020).

Driving AI adoption through perceived value requires the assessment of different factors such as explainability, interpretability, Transparency, and interoperability. The research underscores the importance of XAI in improving trust and perceived usefulness (Hamm et al., 2023). Integrating interpretable components and visualization techniques will make it easier for deep learning models to achieve Transparency and dependability, facilitating understanding and faith in AI systems (Deshpande & Ambatkar, 2023). In addition, explainability emerges as a critical attribute for AI system adoption, particularly in decision-making processes involving humans and AI complementing their respective constraints (Roundtree, 2023). Incorporating existing workflows with AI tools used in software engineering shows that there has been a deviation from conventional theories on technology acceptance (Lee & Cha, 2023). Focusing on such dimensions as Transparency, explainability, and interpretability may significantly influence how well different systems can be valued, viewed, or adopted.

To ensure continuous improvement with AI, people must rely on continuous learning and refinement to make them perform better and become more adaptable over time. The study "Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Breast: Importance of Initial Follow-up Studies," demonstrates the presence of a positive statistical trend in diagnostic accuracy once continuous AI learning is employed and thus proves its efficiency as a means for enhancement of classification accuracy with time (Setchi & Spasic, 2020). In natural language processing (NLP), continual model refinement (CMR) makes the models remain robust and accurate in dynamic environments since they address the challenges posed by nonstationary distribution shifts and diverse out-of-distribution (OOD) data streams (Li et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2020). Moreover, continuous methods for neural network verification require a vital programming language infrastructure that will guarantee the stable performance of an AI system (Komendantskaya et al., 2020). It is also supported by the dissertation on building artificial continual learning agents when it is stated that AI systems must adapt to new data and environments for this purpose (Lomonaco, 2019). In practice, approaches such as CoNDA are used in domain classification problems within intelligent personal digital assistants (IPDAs). They allow only relevant model parameters to be updated while accommodating novel domains without losing already gained knowledge (McCluskey, 2007). Also, by balancing observed signals in a rolling training window, they can be updated continuously, which helps them detect new threats efficiently; hence, their response ability becomes enhanced (Li et al., 2019). Thus, technically possible improvement of AI systems via their learning and refinement ensures their effectiveness and adaptability for applications deployed in real-world environments (Pianykh et al., 2020).

In-depth Understanding of Self in the AI Era. For AI-based common platforms to support strategic decision-making, this article suggests assessing industry dynamics and evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of Chinese, Japanese, and Korean industries in an aggregate way (Mentzas et al., 2021). Process optimization (within) internal operations for all three countries revolves around deploying AI to achieve efficiency gains and cut costs visàvis resource allocation and productivity improvements (Ugoyah & Igbine, 2021). In order to improve processes by cutting costs while focusing on trilateral supply chain projects with the use of AI, the application of any such technology implies that such activities are not just a matter of enhancing performance but an overall attempt at improving competitiveness and value (Burk & Mine, 2022). Enhanced internal processes achieved through data-driven insights and collaborative AI platforms facilitate cost savings, significantly improving global market positions (Ronwe & Mang, 2022).

Guarding Against Competitors' AI Analysis. Companies must consider what happens to machine learning predictors in competition to safeguard against rivals' AI analysis (Ginart et al., 2021). In return, competing predictors can lead to specialization towards specific subpopulations, which may compromise their overall performance for the general population. Moreover, since adversaries can manipulate perturbed data to invert information, privacy-preserving deep learning techniques are susceptible to attackers, and this underscores the significance of robust security measures in AI systems (Zhao et al., 2023). Competitive safety analysis presents a fresh way of ensuring that desired payoffs are achieved in the presence of others by giving strategies that hold expected value even on such network problems as decentralized settings; hence, proactive strategies are required when dealing with risk avoidance in competitive contexts (Tennenholtz, 2002). It necessitates new procedural designs within businesses that will address these safety concerns and enhance decision-making

processes through organizational policies and procedures that consider the security implications of AI technologies in organizational decision-making processes (Bhattacharya, 2020).

The trilateral cooperation among China, Japan, and South Korea provides a potential possibility for shaping a unique corporate image and strengthening brand recognition through regional collaboration (Ho & Chow, 2021). Companies aiming to achieve the goals of increasing trilateral interdependence, promoting institutionalization of cooperation, and building regional collective identity can leverage the power of this cooperation to enhance their brand positioning and storytelling strategies (Gerlich et al., 2023). Furthermore, if the economy and trade cooperate under the framework of this triangle, it will enhance the country's image further by forming positive associations with those still involved (Meng, 2020). Hence, by aligning brand associations with participating companies' core values and attributes, such unique corporate images are developed, leading to increased market competitiveness and enhanced brand recognition (Van Trang et al., 2022).

Table 5. Recommendation for trilateral AI-driven practice.

Framework Component	Practical Insights	Recommendations
Strategic Positioning with AI	Align AI with shared goals for regional growth.	Form committees to set shared goals and strategies.
	Focus on high-impact industries like healthcare and manufacturing.	Conduct joint studies to identify priority industries.
	Create secure data-sharing systems.	Develop regional data governance and security protocols.
Navigating Competitive Forces with AI	Use AI to monitor market trends and competitors.	Build a regional AI intelligence center.
	Adapt competitive strategies with AI insights.	Develop AI tools tailored for trilateral use.
	Promote ethical AI, which is used to ensure fairness.	Create a trilateral ethics board to draft guidelines.
Driving AI Adoption through Perceived Value	Promote explainable AI (XAI) to build trust.	Organize workshops and campaigns to demonstrate the benefits of AI.
	Tailor AI adoption strategies to cultural needs.	Collaborate with local industries to design adoption plans.
	Ensure AI systems are compatible across countries.	Develop regional standards for interoperability.
Ensuring Continuous Improvement with AI	Set up trilateral AI innovation hubs.	Create shared R&D centers to refine AI systems.
	Use feedback to improve AI performance.	Build platforms for cross-border feedback and updates.
	Develop adaptive AI models to meet changing needs.	Invest in AI evolves with market demands.
In-depth Understanding of Self in the AI Era	Use AI to assess strengths and weaknesses in operations.	Share diagnostic tools to evaluate supply chains.
	Share best practices to improve efficiency.	Host annual conferences to exchange knowledge.
	Optimize internal processes with AI insights.	Implement AI tools for automation and efficiency.
Guarding Against Competitors' AI Analysis	Protect strategic data with advanced AI defenses.	Invest in trilateral cybersecurity systems.
	Use privacy-preserving AI methods for secure collaboration.	Deploy federated learning and encryption technologies.
	Monitor AI misuse collectively.	Form a trilateral task force for security and threat monitoring.
Shaping Unique Corporate Image	Highlight trilateral collaboration in branding.	Launch joint marketing campaigns featuring AI success stories.
	Use AI to understand customer sentiment.	Deploy AI tools for sentiment analysis and feedback.
	Position the trilateral AI partnership as a global leader.	Showcase success stories at international forums and publish case studies.

Recent research has proposed many methodologies and technologies to build an innovative company image and improve brand recognition using AI. To begin with, one of them includes the emerging of AI-based systems like BIGNet – a Brand Identification Graph Neural Network (GNN) that detects the brand properties from scalar vector graphics (SVG) such as in phones or cars (Chen et al., 2023). Furthermore, by integrating fuzzy theory into visual recognition technology, some intelligent systems can be designed to differentiate between a competitor's and a company's brand image; for example, KFC was found to be 96.08% accurate (Lin & Lu, 2021). Moreover, where AI has been exploited in cancer hospitals, there is a need for digital transformation and emphasizing the criticality of communication principles focused on stakeholders' information needs rather than promoting medical treatments only (Medina & Pacanowski, 2023).

The specific exploration demonstrated by Table 5 illustrates how strategic AI use could be done across China, Japan, and South Korea via trilateral cooperation. That way, the three nations can enhance their strengths to build on shared strategic objectives while minimizing potential risks, creating a competitive and cooperative environment. Table 5 emphasizes the significance of the trilateral practice of AI's capabilities to boost regional development, competitiveness, and innovation among China, Japan, and South Korea. The countries can face challenges, improve sectors, and strengthen their position in AI by integrating AI triad capabilities, sharing tools, and developing sound and safe policies. The measures listed are practical and guarantee smooth execution, promoting modernization, confidence, and good joint identity.

5. Conclusion

The study explains why all these elements of driving AI innovation and its application are essential. They are a firm basis for sustainable development in the epoch of AI, which will also improve security by protecting against future threats in global markets. Additionally, by bringing together various AI and security frameworks for improving cybersecurity, a common bloc can be formed among the countries, ensuring their technological breakthroughs while maintaining their financial stability and worldwide influence. Therefore, harmonized approaches towards regional AI developments and commercialization help mitigate geopolitical risks besides fostering innovation within this part of the world, thus contributing to regional peace and the socioeconomic well-being of people living there. The integration of AI is not merely a technological advancement but a transformative force reshaping the structures of human associations, corporate identities, and international collaborations, delving into different components of AI integration, such as strategic positioning, perceived value, corporate image, and continuous improvement. The primary reliance on research is premised on what already exists in literature and theoretical models. Furthermore, the fluid geopolitical situation in East Asia, with frequent changes in political, diplomatic, and economic relations, may impact the feasibility and effectiveness of the suggested trilateral cooperation between China, Japan, and South Korea. Since limited analysis is discussed about the specific cyber security issues that might arise from such collaborations, future research should include empirical evidence to support the theoretical frameworks and strategies to provide more actionable insights for practical AI cooperation among China, Japan, and South Korea.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data is available upon request from the authors.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- Ahn, M. J., & Chen, Y. C. (2020, June). Artificial intelligence in government: potentials, challenges, and the future. In *The 21st annual International Conference on Digital Government Research* (pp. 243–252). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3396956.3398260>
- Andolina, S., & Konstan, J. A. (2023). Introduction to the special issue on AI, decision-making, and the impact on humans. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 39(7), 1367–1370. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2023.2175520>
- Anupama, P., Densy, J.V., Priyanka, S., Bindhya, T. (2023). Role of Artificial Intelligence and Business Decision Making. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, Available from: 10.14569/ijacsa.2023.01406103

- Bhattacharya, P. (2020, March). Safeguarding Intelligent Decision-Making for Business: Towards A Model. In 2020 19th International Symposium INFOTEH-JAHORINA (INFOTEH) (pp. 1-5). IEEE. doi: 10.1109/INFOTEH48170.2020.9066289.
- Burk, S., & Miner, G. D. (2022). *The Executive's Guide to AI and Analytics: The Foundations of Execution and Success in the New World*. Productivity Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003175759>
- Burri, M. (2023). Cross-border data flows and privacy in global trade law: Has trade trumped data protection? *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 39(1), 85–97. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grac042>
- Chen, Y. H., Kara, L. B., & Cagan, J. (2023, August). Automating Style Analysis and Visualization With Explainable AI-Case Studies on Brand Recognition. In *International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference* (Vol. 87301, p. V03AT03A006). American Society of Mechanical Engineers. <https://doi.org/10.1115/DETC2023-115150>
- Chereau, P., Meschi, P. X., Chereau, P., & Meschi, P. X. (2018). Defining strategic positioning. *Strategic Consulting: Tools and methods for successful strategy missions*, 39-79. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-64422-6_3
- Coluccino, R. (2023). Why are the EU and the US beginning to find common ground on AI policy despite early disagreements? <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1763753/FULLTEXT02.pdf>
- Contreras, B., & Barrett, K. A. (2020). Challenges in building regional capacities in cybersecurity: A regional organizational reflection. In *Routledge Handbook of International Cybersecurity* (pp. 214-217). Routledge. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781351038904-20/challenges-building-regional-capacities-cybersecurity-belisario-contreras-kerry-ann-barrett>
- Deshpande, R.S., and Ambatkar, P. V. (2023). Interpretable Deep Learning Models: Enhancing Transparency and Trustworthiness in Explainable AI. *The Ciência & Engenharia -Science & Engineering Journal*. ISSN: 0103-944X Volume 11 Issue 1, pp: 1352-1363. <https://doi.org/10.52783/cienceng.v11i1.286>
- Fabrègue, B. F., & Bogoni, A. (2023). Privacy and security concerns in the smart city. *Smart Cities*, 6(1), 586-613. <https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities6010027>
- Fagerberg, J. (2022). Mobilizing innovation for the global green shift: the case for demand-oriented innovation policy. doi: 10.1093/oso/9780198870067.003.0015
- Feldman, R. C., & Thieme, N. (2019). Competition at the dawn of artificial intelligence. In *Competition Law for the Digital Economy* (pp. 71-92). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788971836.00011>
- Fianto, A. Y. A., & Dutahatmaja, A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and Novel Services: Exploring Opportunities in the Marketing Landscape. *Journal of Applied Management and Business*, 4(1), 49-59. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37802/jamb.v4i1.399>.
- Friederich, S. (2023). Symbiosis, not alignment, as the goal for liberal democracies in the transition to artificial general intelligence. *AI and Ethics*, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-023-00268-7>
- Furr, N., Ozcan, P., & Eisenhardt, K. M. (2022). What is digital transformation? Core tensions facing established companies on the global stage. *Global Strategy Journal*, 12 (4), 595–618. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gsj.1442>
- Gasimova, C. (2023). Privacy and Transparency in an AI-driven world: Does algorithmic Transparency fit on data privacy under GDPR? Available at SSRN 4482889. <https://lup.lub.lu.se/student-papers/record/9119730/file/9119738.pdf>
- Gerlich, M., Elsayed, W., & Sokolovskiy, K. (2023). Artificial intelligence as a toolset for analysis of public opinion and social interaction in marketing: identification of micro and nano influencers. *Frontiers in Communication*, 8, 1075654. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomm.2023.1075654>
- Ginart, T., Zhang, E., Kwon, Y., & Zou, J. (2021, March). Competing AI: How does competition feedback affect machine learning? In *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics* (pp. 1693-1701). PMLR. <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v130/ginart21a.html>
- Greenspan, H., Estépar, R. S. J., Niessen, W. J., Siegel, E., & Nielsen, M. (2020). Position paper on COVID-19 imaging and AI: From the clinical needs and technological challenges to initial AI solutions at the lab and national level towards a new era for AI in healthcare. *Medical image analysis*, 66, 101800. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2020.101800>
- Griffith, E., (2024). Reassessing the South Korea-China-Japan Trilateral Summits: The Limits of Institutionalism in East Asia. In *Politics, International Relations and Diplomacy on the Korean Peninsula* (pp. 69–85). Routledge. DOI: 10.4324/9781003392569-4

- Gubová, K. (2020). Green innovations in logistics support developing innovative green technologies, processes, products and services in companies in Slovakia. In SHS Web of Conferences (Vol. 83, p. 01018). EDP Sciences. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20208301018>
- Hahn-Kyu, P. (2017). The China–Japan–South Korea Trilateral Summit: Realpolitik or Liberal Peace? China–Japan Relations in the 21st Century: Antagonism Despite Interdependency, 291–317. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-4373-4_12
- Hamm, P., Klesel, M., Coberger, P., & Wittmann, H. F. (2023). Explanation matters: An experimental study on explainable AI. *Electronic Markets*, 33(1), 17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-023-00640-9>
- Ho, S. P. S., & Chow, M. Y. C. (2023). The role of artificial intelligence in consumers’ brand preference for retail banks in Hong Kong. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41264-022-00207-3>
- Husen, A., & Chowdhury, S. M. R. (2023). Interdisciplinary Nature of Computational Science Cases in Business Studies. *Global Disclosure of Economics and Business*, 12(1), 1–14. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18034/gdeb.v12i1.692>
- Joo-Yeon, H. (2022). East Asian Regionalism and the Trilateral Cooperation among South Korea, China and Japan. Available from: 10.33127/kdps.2022.44.1.85
- Kemp, A. (2023). Competitive Advantage through Artificial Intelligence: Toward a Theory of Situated AI. *Academy of Management Review*, (ja), amr-2020. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2020.0205>
- Khan, A., Yang, K., Fu, Y., Lou, F., Jifara, W., Jiang, F., & Shaohui, L. (2018). A competitive combat strategy and tactics in RTS Games AI and StarCraft. In *Advances in Multimedia Information Processing–PCM 2017: 18th Pacific-Rim Conference on Multimedia*, Harbin, China, September 28-29, 2017, Revised Selected Papers, Part II 18 (pp. 3-12). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77383-4_1
- Kohnert, D. (2022). Machine ethics and African identities: Perspectives of artificial intelligence in Africa. Available at SSRN 4163096. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4163096> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4163096>
- Komendantskaya, E., Kokke, W., & Kienitz, D. (2020, September). Continuous verification of machine learning: a declarative programming approach. In *Proceedings of the 22nd International Symposium on Principles and Practice of Declarative Programming* (pp. 1-3). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3414080.3414081>
- Kraus, N., Kraus, K., Manzhura, O. L. E. K. S. A. N. D. R., Ishchenko, I. N. N. A., & Radzikhovska, Y. U. L. I. I. A. (2023). Digital Transformation of Business Processes of Enterprises on the Way to Becoming Industry 5.0 in the Gig Economy. *WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics*, 93(20), 1008–1029.DOI:10.37394/23207.2023.20.93
- Kruhlov, V., Latynin, M., Horban, A., & Petrov, A. (2019). Public-Private Partnership in Cybersecurity. In *CybHyg* (pp. 619-628). https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Vitalij-Kruglov/publication/343809652_Public-Private_Partnership_in_Cybersecurity/links/5f40cc78458515b7293e4e96/Public-Private-Partnership-in-Cybersecurity.pdf
- Kruszynski, M., & Pawlowski, E. (2020). Management of intelligent autonomous environment in a context of competitive forces. The case of the Polish market. In *Advances in Manufacturing, Production Management, and Process Control: Proceedings of the AHFE 2020 Virtual Conferences on Human Aspects of Advanced Manufacturing, Advanced Production Management and Process Control, and Additive Manufacturing, Modeling Systems, and 3D Prototyping*, July 16–20, 2020, USA (pp. 33-40). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51981-0_4
- Lee, C., & Cha, K. (2023). FAT-CAT—Explainability and augmentation for an AI system: A case study on AI recruitment-system adoption. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 171, 102976. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2022.102976>
- Li, H., Lee, J., Mudgal, S., Sarikaya, R., & Kim, Y. B. (2019). Continuous learning for large-scale personalized domain classification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.00921*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1905.00921>
- Li, H., Whitney, H. M., Ji, Y., Edwards, A., Papaioannou, J., Liu, P., & Giger, M. L. (2022). Impact of continuous learning on diagnostic breast MRI AI: evaluation on an independent clinical dataset. *Journal of Medical Imaging*, 9(3), 034502–034502. <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JMI.9.3.034502>

- Lim, J. and Kim, D. (2022). The Effect of National Identity and Collective Victimhood towards Koreans' Perception on China-Japan-Korea Trilateral Cooperation.<https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/crgdu>
- Lin, B. Y., Wang, S., Lin, X. V., Jia, R., Xiao, L., Ren, X., & Yih, W. T. (2022). On continual model refinement in out-of-distribution data streams. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.02014. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.02014>
- Lin, H., Lu, Han. (2021). Research on visual recognition intelligent system of city brand image based on fuzzy theory and regional culture. *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 1–9. doi: 10.3233/JIFS-189833
- Lomonaco, V. (2019). Continual Learning with Deep Architectures, [Dissertation thesis], Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna. Dottorato di ricerca in Computer science and engineering, 31 Ciclo. DOI: 10.6092/unibo/amsdottorato/9073
- Makridakis, S. (2017). The forthcoming Artificial Intelligence (AI) revolution: Its impact on society and firms. *Futures*, 90, 46-60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2017.03.006>
- Maulana, Y. I., & Fajar, I. (2023). Analysis of Cyber Diplomacy and its Challenges for the Digital Era Community. *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, 4(2), 169-177. <https://aptikom-journal.id/itsdi/article/view/587>.
- McCluskey, T. L. (2007). Feasibility criteria for investigating potential application areas of AI planning. <https://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/3217/>
- Medina, E., & Pacanowski, T. G. (2023). Building meaningful brands through disseminating artificial intelligence-related content. An essay about cancer hospitals. *Journal of Advanced Research in Social Sciences*, 6(1), 54-68. <https://doi.org/10.33422/jarss.v6i1.934>
- Meng, J. (2022). A Study on Corporate Public Diplomacy and National Image, Based on “16+ 1” Cooperation. *Scientific and Social Research*, 4(5), 48–57. doi:10.26689/ssr.v4i5.3938
- Mentzas, G., Lepenioti, K., Bousdekis, A., & Apostolou, D. (2021). Data-driven collaborative human-AI decision-making. In *Responsible AI and Analytics for an Ethical and Inclusive Digitized Society: 20th IFIP WG 6.11 Conference on e-Business, e-Services and e-Society, I3E 2021, Galway, Ireland, September 1–3, 2021, Proceedings 20* (pp. 120-131). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-85447-8_11
- Mokeddem, A. (2020). How Artificial Intelligence can make competition more Intelligent. *Communications of the IBIMA*, 1–11. DOI: 10.5171/2020.622155
- Naqvi, A. (2017). Competitive dynamics of artificial intelligence economy: The Wicked Problem of cognitive competition. *Journal of Economics Library*, 4(2), 187–193. <http://www.kspjournals.org/index.php/JEL/article/view/1319>
- Nemorin, S., Vlachidis, A., Ayerakwa, H. M., & Andriotis, P. (2023). AI hyped? A horizon scan of discourse on artificial intelligence in education (AIED) and development. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 48(1), 38-51. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2022.2095568>
- Ndzendze, B., Marwala, T. (2023). Realism and Artificial Intelligence. In: *Artificial Intelligence and International Relations Theories*. Palgrave Macmillan, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-4877-0_4
- Pawlak, P., & Missiroli, A. (2019). Introduction: Trends, Patterns and Challenges for International Cooperation in Cyberspace. *European foreign affairs review*, 24(2). <https://doi.org/10.54648/eerr2019008>
- Pchelintseva, O. I., & Omelyanskaya, O. V. (2022). Features of conducting ethical review of research on artificial intelligence systems on the basis of the research and practical clinical center for diagnostics and telemedicine technologies of the Moscow Health Care Department, Moscow, Russian Federation. *Digital Diagnostics*, 3(2), 156-161. doi: 10.17816/DD107983
- Piankykh, O. S., Langs, G., Dewey, M., Enzmann, D. R., Herold, C. J., Schoenberg, S. O., & Brink, J. A. (2020). Continuous learning AI in radiology: implementation principles and early applications. *Radiology*, 297(1), 6-14. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200038>
- Polcumpally, A. T. (2022). Artificial intelligence and global power structure: understanding through Luhmann's systems theory: Constructing Luhmann's second order observations using triple helix model. *AI & SOCIETY*, 37(4), 1487-1503. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-021-01219-8>
- Rabun, M. N., Arshad, M. R. M., & Othman, M. H. (2023). LINKING THE ANTECEDENTS OF A KEY PLAYER IN NATIONAL'S PALM OIL SECTOR IN ACHIEVING COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE:

- MODERATING EFFECTS OF ORGANISATION'S AGE. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 24(1), 399-420. 10.33736/ijbs.5624.2023
- Rahman, A., Hossain, M. S., Muhammad, G., Kundu, D., Debnath, T., Rahman, M., ... & Band, S. S. (2023). Federated learning-based AI approaches in smart healthcare: concepts, taxonomies, challenges and open issues. *Cluster computing*, 26(4), 2271–2311. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-022-03658-4>
- Rainnie, A., & Dean, M. (2020). Industry 4.0 and the future of quality work in the global digital economy. *Labour & industry: a journal of work's social and economic relations*, 30(1), 16-33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10301763.2019.1697598>
- Reshetnikova, M., Pugacheva, I., & Evseevicheva, A. (2021). China or South Korea: a comparative analysis of innovative development. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 295, p. 01060). EDP Sciences. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202129501060>
- Rickli, J. M., & Mantellassi, F. (2023). Artificial intelligence in warfare: military uses of AI and their international security implications. In *The AI wave in defense innovation* (pp. 12-36). Routledge. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003218326-2/artificial-intelligence-warfare-jean-marc-rickli-federico-mantellassi>
- Robinette, R. (2023). Implications of strategic position choices by candidates. *Constitutional Political Economy*, 34(3), 445-457. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10602-022-09378-6>
- Rowe, C. M., & Mang, K. C. (2022). Big data and its role on fostering innovation in Asia. *Business & IT*, Vol. XII(1), pp. 177-183, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.14311/bit.2022.01.21>.
- Roundtree, A. K. (2023). AI Explainability, Interpretability, Fairness, and Privacy: An Integrative Review of Reviews. In *International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction* (pp. 305-317). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-35891-3_19
- Ruhl, C., Hollis, D., Hoffman, W., & Maurer, T. (2022). Cyberspace and geopolitics: Assessing global cybersecurity norm processes at a crossroads. *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace*. https://carnegieendowment.org/files/Cyberspace_and_Geopolitics_Carnegie_v1_web.pdf.
- Setchi, R., & Spasic, I. (2020). AI-assisted patent prior art searching-feasibility study. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/ai-assi...>
- Sundar, S. S. (2020). Rise of machine agency: A framework for studying the psychology of human-AI interaction (HAI). *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 25(1), 74-88. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcmc/zmz026>
- Tennenholtz, M. (2002). Competitive safety analysis: Robust decision-making in multi-agent systems. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 17, 363-378. <https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.1065>
- Trent, S. (2018). Cultivating technology innovation for cyberspace operations. *The Cyber Defense Review*, 3(3), 115–134. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26555001>
- Ugoyah, J., & Igbine, A. M. (2021, August). Applications of AI and Data-Driven Modeling in Energy Production and Marketing Processes. In *SPE Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition* (p. D021S009R007). SPE. <https://doi.org/10.2118/207153-MS>
- Van Trang, N. T., Nghiem, T. L., & Do, T. M. (2022). Improving the competitiveness of enterprises in brand recognition based on a machine learning approach. *Global Changes and Sustainable Development in Asian Emerging Market Economies Vol. 1: Proceedings of EDESUS 2019*, 359-373. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-81435-9_26
- Vlados, C. (2019). Porter's diamond approaches and the competitiveness web. *International Journal of Business Administration*, 10(5), 33–52. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3452402>
- Wagner, D. N. (2020). Strategically managing the artificially intelligent firm. *Strategy & Leadership*, 48(3), 19-25. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SL-08-2019-0119>
- Wenning, S. (2023). What Influence Does the AI Strategy Have on Possible Outcomes of Chinese Foreign Policy and Economic Development?. *Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal*, 10(4), 50–75. DOI:10.14738/assrj.104.14416.
- Whitacre, J. M., Abbass, H. A., Sarker, R., Bender, A., & Baker, S. (2008, July). Strategic positioning in tactical scenario planning. In *Proceedings of the 10th annual conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation* (pp. 1081–1088). <https://doi.org/10.1145/1389095.1389293>

- Williams, K. (2020). Strategic positioning: How policy research actors situate their intellectual labor to gain symbolic resources from multiple fields. *The Sociological Review*, 68(5), 1070–1091. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0038026119900116>
- Wirén, M., & Mäntymäki, M. (2018). Strategic positioning in big data utilization: Towards a conceptual framework. In *Challenges and Opportunities in the Digital Era: 17th IFIP WG 6.11 Conference on e-Business, e-Services, and e-Society, I3E 2018, Kuwait City, Kuwait, October 30–November 1, 2018, Proceedings 17* (pp. 117-128). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02131-3_12
- Xiang, X., Yang, G., & Sun, H. (2022). The impact of the digital economy on low-carbon, inclusive growth: Promoting or restraining. *Sustainability*, 14(12), 7187. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14127187>
- Zeng, J. (2021). Securitization of artificial intelligence in China. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 14(3), 417–445. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/poab005>
- Zhao, D., Liao, S., Li, H., & Xiang, J. (2023, May). Competitor Attack Model for Privacy-Preserving Deep Learning. In *2023 IEEE/ACM 23rd International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and Internet Computing Workshops (CCGridW)* (pp. 133–140). IEEE. doi: 10.1109/CCGridW59191.2023.00034
- Zheng, Y., & Fan, Y. (2015). From cyberwarfare to cybersecurity in the Asia-Pacific and beyond. In *China and Cybersecurity: Espionage, Strategy, and Politics in the Digital Domain*. pp. 87–109. Oxford University Press. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190201265.003.0005.